

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/814,795 04/01/2004 1594.1434 Seong Wook Jeong 4962 EXAMINER 21171 08/01/2005 7590 STAAS & HALSEY LLP TANNER, HARRY B SUITE 700 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 3744

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/814,795	JEONG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Harry B. Tanner	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Extended after - If the control of the contro	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleture to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period our to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	<u>1ay 2005</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	•				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.				
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠					
7)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			٠	
-	•		2.440(-) (-1) (0)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
,	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot	icceiveu.		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The control methods recited in claims 4, 10 and 12 are not shown in the flow chart or the timing diagram. They must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3744

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Kikuyama. It is taken to be admitted prior art that the control of the freezer and refrigeration fans and the compressor in response to compartment temperatures is conventional in view pages 1-3 of the specification. Kikuyama teaches providing a time delay between the operation of the compressor and multiple evaporator fans in order to reduce the load on the system and reduce power surge (see Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the admitted prior art system of such that operation of the evaporator fans and/or the compressor were started on a sequential basis in order to reduce the load on the system and reduce power surge in view of the teachings of Kikuyama.

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For example, with respect to applicant's contention that Kikuyama teaches away for starting the blast units and the compressor together, it is noted that claims 1, 6, 13 and 14 are broad enough to read on a system in which the blast units and the compressor are started sequentially. In fact claims 4 and 10 which depend upon claims 1 and 6 respectively, recite that the compressor and blast unit are started sequentially and claims 5 and 11 recite that the compressor and blast unit are started simultaneously

Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

which implies that the parent claims 1 and 6 read on either operation. It is the examiners position that Kikuyama teaches providing a delay between operating the compressor and the two evaporator fans and that one of ordinary skill in the art would consider it to have been obvious to provide such a delay between the compressor and fan or between the two fans rather than starting all the devices simultaneously. As for the method steps recited in claims 4, 10 or 12, it is not clear how Figure 3 or 4 show the claimed method steps.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,795

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry B. Tanner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744